

Item No. 04

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI  
(Through Video Conferencing)**

Original Application No. 10/2020 (CZ)  
(I.A Nos. 18/2020, 21/2020 & 28/2020)

Green & Green Lawyers

Applicant(s)

Versus

Directorate of Geology and Mines  
Madhya Pradesh & Ors.

Respondent(s)

Date of hearing: 11.05.2020

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAGUVEMDRA S. RATORE, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE DR. SATYAWAN SINGH GARBYAL, EXPERT MEMBER**

For Applicant (s): Mr. Sachin K. Verma, Adv.

For Respondent (s): Ms. Nikita for R-2  
Mr. Enosh George Carlo for R-1

**ORDER**

I.A No. 21/2020 has been filed by the applicant for correction of the order dated 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2020. It is submitted in the application as well as by the learned Counsel for the applicant that a word fresh before environmental clearance in the operative part of the order has been inadvertently left out. Further he has submitted that the order, as passed on the last occasion was that the contractor shall not operate the sand mining without obtaining fresh environmental clearance and other statutory permissions.

Having considered the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the parties and on perusal of the last order, we are of the view that by way of typographical error the word fresh has not been mentioned. Therefore, we order that the operative portion of the order dated 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2020 shall read as under:

***“Therefore, we direct that in the meanwhile, the new group contractors shall not operate the sand mines without obtaining fresh environmental clearance and other statutory permissions.”***

Accordingly I.A No. 21/2020 stands allowed with no order as to costs.

I.A No. 28/2020 has been filed by the applicant under section 26 and 28 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010. After having considered the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the applicant, we issue notice to the respondents on the interlocutory application, returnable within two weeks.

#### **Main Matter**

The Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that Respondent no. 3 and 4 have been duly served and in that regard he has filed proof of service, supported by the affidavit but we find that no one has appeared on behalf of the Respondents no. 3 and 4, today.

The respondents are granted further time to file reply to the Original Application before the next date of hearing, with an advance

copy to the counsel by the applicant, who may file rejoinder if so desire.

List this matter on 01<sup>st</sup> June, 2020.

Justice Raghuvendra S Rathore, JM

Dr. Satyawan Singh Garbyal, EM

